Template for Collecting Information about All Financial

					-
A	-	-	B /I	III	
4	(IX /II	ь.	
~	<u> </u>	ς	I V I	L	

Relationships from P	lanners, F	Faculty, and O	thers		
To be completed by education staff. Name of Individual: Karl Koenig MD		Individual's prospective role(s) in education Identify the prospective role(s) that this person may have in the planning and delivery of this education (choose all that apply)			
Title of Continuing Education: Hanes H. Brindley Sr and Jr Orthopaedic Lectureship and Resid Date and location of Education: May 16, 2025	ent Research Forum	 Planner Examples: planning committee, staff involved in choosing topics, faculty, or content Teacher, Instructor, Faculty Author, Writer Reviewer Other 			
As a prospective planner or faculty member influence. Please complete the form below a The ACCME Standards for Integrity and Ind from involvement in the planning and implen assistance. If you have questions, please co	and return it to Cy ependence require nentation of accred	ndi.Kruedelbach@BSWH e that we disqualify individ dited continuing educatio	ealth.org ^{by} - duals who refus n. Thank you fo	02/28/25 se to provide this information or your diligence and	
To be Completed by Planner, Faculty, or Of Please disclose all financial relationships tha For each financial relationship, enter the name financial threshold; we ask that you disclose all disclose all financial relationships regardless of	at you have had in t of the ineligible co financial relationsh	the past 24 months with in mpany and the nature of th hips, regardless of the amo	eligible compan ne financial relat punt, with ineligil	tionship(s). There is no minimum ble companies. You should	
Enter the Name of Ineligible Company An ineligible company is any entity whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients. For specific examples of ineligible companies visit accme.org/standards.	Examples of finance researcher, consult contractor (includin patent beneficiary, Individual stocks ar diversified mutual f Research funding f disclosed by the pr	ure of Financial Relationship ancial relationships include employee, sultant, advisor, speaker, independent ding contracted research), royalties or ry, executive role, and ownership interest. and stock options should be disclosed; al funds do not need to be disclosed. g from ineligible companies should be principal or named investigator even if that ution receives the research grant and nds.		Has the Relationship Ended? If the financial relationship existed during the last 24 months, but has now ended, please check the box in this column. This will help the education staff determine if any mitigation steps need to be taken.	
Example: ABC Company	Consultant				
In the past 24 months, I have not had I attest that the above information is correct as of t	his date of submissio	on. Should my	ible companies $\frac{\chi / 2}{01/27/2025}$	<u> </u>	
information change, I agree to update the CME Tea Faculty/Speakers/Authors for CME act 1. Will not actively promote or sell produce	ivities:	original signature and the date in the f			

Will not include product logos or trade names of an ineligible company; or, if it is necessary to include trade names in a presentation will endeavor to mention the trade names of several companies, not just trade names from a single company.

3. Will not receive direct remuneration from an ineligible company.

Guidance for Planners, Authors, and Faculty: Ensuring that Clinical Content is Valid

This form has been adopted by: The A. Webb Roberts Center for Continuing Medical Education of Baylor Scott & White Health



Dear Prospective Planner/Faculty Member:

As an important contributor to our accredited education, we would like to enlist your help to ensure that educational content is fair and balanced, and that any clinical content presented supports safe, effective patient care. This includes the expectations that:

- ü All recommendations for patient care in accredited continuing education must be based on current science, evidence, and clinical reasoning, while giving a fair and balanced view of diagnostic and therapeutic options.
- ü All scientific research referred to, reported, or used in accredited education in support or justification of a patient care recommendation must conform to the generally accepted standards of experimental design, data collection, analysis, and interpretation.
- ^u Although accredited continuing education is an appropriate place to discuss, debate, and explore new and evolving topics, these areas need to be clearly identified as such within the program and individual presentations. It is the responsibility of accredited providers to facilitate engagement with these topics without advocating for, or promoting, practices that are not, or not yet, adequately based on current science, evidence, and clinical reasoning.
- Content cannot be included in accredited education if it advocates for unscientific approaches to diagnosis or therapy, or if the education promotes recommendations, treatment, or manners of practicing healthcare that are determined to have risks or dangers that outweigh the benefits or are known to be ineffective in the treatment of patients.

These expectations are drawn from **Standard 1** of the ACCME Standards for Integrity and Independence in Accredited Continuing Education. For more information, see **accme.org/standards**. If we can help you to understand and/or apply these strategies to your education, please contact us at CE@BSWHealth.org

Please consider using these strategies to help us support the development of valid, high quality education.

Consider using the following best practices when presenting clinical content in accredited CE:

- Clearly describe the level of evidence on which the presentation is based and provide enough information about data (study dates, design, etc.) to enable learners to assess research validity.
- ü Ensure that, if there is a range of evidence, that the credible sources cited present a balanced view of the evidence.
- ü If clinical recommendations will be made, include balanced information on all available therapeutic options.
- Address any potential risks or adverse effects that could be caused with any clinical recommendations.

Although accredited CE is an appropriate place to discuss, debate, and explore new and evolving topics, presenting topics or treatments with a lower (or absent) evidence base should include the following strategies:

- Facilitate engagement with these topics without advocating for, or promoting, practices that are not, or not yet, adequately based on current science, evidence, and clinical reasoning
- Construct the activity as a debate or dialogue. Identify other faculty who represent a range of opinions and perspectives; presentations should include a balanced, objective view of research and treatment options.
- Teach about the merits and limitations of a therapeutic or diagnostic approach rather than how to use it.
- Identify content that has not been accepted as scientifically meritorious by regulatory and other authorities, or when the material has not been included in scientifically accepted guidelines or published in journals with national or international stature.
- Clearly communicate the learning goals for the activity to learners (e.g., "This activity will teach you about how your patients may be using XX therapy and how to answer their questions. It will not teach you how to administer XX therapy").